

Original citation:

Giusti, Elena. (2015) Caesar criss-crossing the Rubicon : a palindromic accrostic in Lucan (BC 1.218-22). *The Classical Quarterly*, 65 (2). pp. 892-894.

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/95088>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

This article has been published in a revised form in *The Classical Quarterly* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0009838815000051> This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © copyright holder.

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

CAESAR CRISS-CROSSING THE RUBICON: A PALINDROMIC ACROSTIC IN LUCAN (*BC* 1.218-22)*

Lucan's account of Caesar crossing the Rubicon (*BC* 1.213-22) is dense with metapoetic allusion. Although the river has been specified as a small stream at Caesar's arrival (*ut uentum est parui Rubiconis ad undas*, 1.185), it becomes swollen, *tumidus*, as soon as Caesar 'breaks the delay of war' and 'carries his standards in haste over the [now] swollen river' (*inde moras soluit belli tumidumque per amnem | signa tulit propere*, 1.204-5). This has been pinpointed both as a metapoetic signpost of Lucan's engagement with the anti-Callimachean swollen river of grandiose epic (Call. *H.* 2.108-9) at the outbreak of (his) Civil War,¹ and as a programmatic statement that the whole *BC* will set up a series of contrasts between Caesar's urgency in crossing boundaries and Lucan's narrative obstructions to or compliances with Caesar's progress.² In fact, as Jamie Masters notes, 'in spite of the "undoing of delay," the perfect "tulit" and the adverb "propere," Caesar has not crossed the river yet; or if he has, he must do it again',³ precisely at 1.213-22. Within this densely self-reflexive passage, Lucan inserts a palindromic acrostic which signals both the doubling

* I am indebted to John Henderson for believing in this fervently when my enthusiasm was tepid; to Danielle Frisby, whose handout brought my attention to it; to Emily Gowers, Alessandro Schiesaro and the anonymous referee of *CQ* for their helpful suggestions.

¹ See C. McNelis, *Statius' Thebaid and the Poetics of Civil War* (Cambridge, 2007), 121, P. Chaudhuri, *The War with God: Theomachy in Roman Imperial Poetry* (Oxford, 2014), 213, and D. Frisby, 'Horses as the vehicle for metapoetic challenge: to what extent can we understand implicit self-referentiality at the appearance of a quadriga?', unpublished paper presented at the Metapoetics Workshop, King's College, Cambridge, 27th March 2014.

² J. Masters, *Poetry and Civil War in Lucan's Bellum Civile* (Cambridge, 1992), 2-3.

³ Masters (n. 2), 2.

of Caesar's action (or at least the poet's double mention of the action) and Lucan's poetic representation of Caesar taming the forces of nature.⁴

In the *ecphrasis* of the Rubicon, Lucan seems to linger on those atmospherical phenomena which could have made Caesar's enterprise more risky. He first describes the state of the dark-red Rubicon⁵ in the summer, when it is made too hot by the burning season (*cum feruida canduit aestas*, 1.214), and then moves on to show how the winter season and three days of uninterrupted rain had actually provided the small stream with stronger and more dangerous waves (1.217-19), lines which may owe something to Livy's description of the river Druentia before Hannibal's Alpine crossing (Liv. 21.31.10-12),⁶ all the more so in view of Lucan's geographical incongruity of mentioning the Alps metonymically for 'mountains' at 1.219.⁷

⁴ The acrostic is listed among the 'Zufallsakrosticha' by I. Hilberg, 'Ist die Ilias Latina von einem Italicus verfasst oder einem Italicus gewidmet?', *WS* 21 (1899), 264-305, at 303. It is there placed next to another 'accidental acrostic': *TEPES* at Verg. *A.* 6.252-6, the description of Aeneas' sacrifice before entering the underworld, perhaps signalled, if deliberate, at *A.* 6.248 *tepidum ... cruorem*.

⁵ 1.214 *puniceus Rubicon*, hinting at the Rubicon's etymology from *ruber*.

⁶ See especially Liv. 21.31.12 *et tum forte imbribus auctus ingentem transgredientibus tumultum fecit*, with the assonance *tum... tem... tumultum* para-etymologically becoming Lucan's *tumidum* at *BC* 1.204.

⁷ P. Roche, *Lucan De Bello Ciuili Book I* (Oxford, 2009), 219, following C.E. Haskins, *M. Annaei Lucani Pharsalia* (London, 1887), 15 and R.J. Getty, *M. Annaei Lucani De Bello Ciuili Liber I* (Cambridge, 1940), 57, does not find the mention of the Alps (as a metonymy rather than a geographical mistake) particularly noteworthy here. On the similarities between Hannibal and Lucan's Caesar, see F.M. Ahl, *Lucan: An Introduction* (Ithaca, 1976), 107-12.

At the passage of Caesar's cavalry, however, the river seems to calm down and acquire a very moderate warmth:

fonte cadit modico *paruisque* inpellitur *undis*
puniceus Rubicon, cum feruida conduit aestas,
perque imas serpit ualles et Gallica certus
limes ab Ausoniis disternat arua colonis.
tum uires praebebat hiemps atque *auxerat undas*
Tertia iam *grauido* pluuiialis Cynthia *cornu*
Et madidis Euri resolutae flatibus Alpes.
Primus *in obliquum* sonipes opponitur amnem
Excepturus aquas; molli tum cetera rumpit
Turba uado *faciles* iam fracti fluminis *undas*.
(Luc. *BC.* 1.213-22)

Excepturus (221), *in obliquum* (220) and the polyptoton *undis... undas... undas* (213, 217, 222), perhaps reminiscent of a now famous Virgilian acrostic in the *Eclogues*,⁸ may work as signals of the acrostic's presence.⁹ Additionally, the meteorological notation in line 218 may also be reminiscent of Virgil's famous signature acrostic at *G.* 1.429-33, in its turn a probable allusion to an acrostic in

⁸ *VNDIS*, at Verg. *E.* 9.34-8, confirmed in line 39 *ludus in undis*: see A.A. Grishin, '*Ludus in undis*: An Acrostic in *Eclogue* 9', *HSPH* 104 (2008), 237-40.

⁹ Acrostics are normally 'announced' by certain key-words: see D. Feeney and D. Nelis, 'Two Virgilian Acrostics: *Certissima Signa?*', *CQ* 55 (2005), 644-6.

Aratus' *Phaenomena* 783-87:¹⁰ if so, the *iunctura grauido... cornu* (218) can be seen to pinpoint the fact that reverse reading is also at play, since the Virgilian acrostic was also reversed, and similarly signalled and framed by the horns of the moon (*obscuro... cornu*, *G.* 1.428; *obtunsis... cornibus*, 433).¹¹ If one 'is ready to accept' (*excepturus*, 221) the acrostic, to be read 'obliquely' (*in obliquum*, 220) and 'palindromically' between the lines, then the verb *TEPET* would ironically indicate that the Rubicon has actually acquired quite a moderate warmth at the crossing of Caesar's *sonipes*: not too hot, as in line 214, not too cold, as in lines 217-19.¹² Secondly, the repetitive nature of the palindrome, to be read from top to bottom or – crossing back – from bottom to top, draws attention to Lucan's repetition of Caesar's crossing, and re-crossing, of the Rubicon.

In commenting on the scene, Roche interprets the danger posed to Caesar by the Rubicon in winter in line with Fantham's suggestion that 'nature in *BC* may intermittently act with providential care for human safety'.¹³ This may initially be true, but at the same time Caesar's crossing of the

¹⁰ The signature acrostic *PU- VE- MA-* (*Publius Vergilius Maro*, to be read in reverse order from lines 433, 431 and 429) was discovered by E. Brown, *Numeri Vergiliani: Studies in "Eclogues" and "Georgics"* (Brussels, 1963), 102-5; on the possibility of a further 'window allusion' to an acrostic in Homer (*Il.* 24.1-5), see T. Somerville, 'Note on a Reversed Acrostic in Vergil *Georgics* 1.429-33', *CPh* 105 (2010), 202-9.

¹¹ I owe this intriguing suggestion to an anonymous reader.

¹² It could be argued that the verb *tepesco* may be preferred to *tepeo* in this context. However, it is difficult to imagine that Lucan would have produced an acrostic as long as *tepescit*, which would not have provided him, in any case, with a palindrome.

¹³ Roche (n. 7), 218-19, referring to the question posed by E. Fantham, *Lucan De Bello Ciuili Book II* (Cambridge, 1992), 202: 'is there some sense of providential care for human safety?'.

river, as with his cross of the Adriatic in the storm scene in Book 5,¹⁴ suddenly turns the nature of Lucan's *BC* into a docile creature, subjugated to his own will. Yet, this transformation of nature is only put in motion by Lucan's own wish to comply with Caesar's actions. Ultimately, it is the poet who retains the privilege of turning the Rubicon and its waters from docile to swollen and then docile again (*paruis... undis*, 213; *auxerat undas*, 217; *faciles... undas*, 222), from hot to freezing, as if the river was an anti-Callimachean second Euphrates, and then moderately warm (*TEPET*), like the waters of the 'speaking name' Pisciatello, if that is indeed the river with which we should identify the Rubicon.¹⁵ Thanks to Lucan's (mis)representation, Caesar can claim to have conquered the stream (*superato gurgite*, 223) in the same 'Hannibalic' way that he had conquered the 'Alps' (*superauerat Alpes*, 182). Only now that the general's and the poet's intents have converged, can Caesar's war and Lucan's grandiose but Alexandrian epic properly begin.

University of Glasgow

E. GIUSTI

egiusti382@gmail.com

¹⁴ See Caesar's rescue by a tenth wave at *BC* 5.672-6, where Lucan seems to allude to the Virgilian model of Neptune in *Aen.* 1.145-7 in order to draw attention 'to the replacement of a divine agent by a "natural" phenomenon', as noted by M. Matthews, *Caesar and the Storm: A Commentary on Lucan De Bello Ciuili, Book 5 lines 476-721* (Oxford and New York, 2008), 250.

¹⁵ On the problematic identification, see P. Aebischer, 'Considerations sur le cours du Rubicon', *MH* 1 (1944), 258-69.